

JUDICIAL SYSTEM IN THE EMPIRE OF THE TIMURIDS

Mukhitdinova Fiyuza Abdurashidovna

Professor, Doctor of Legal Sciences, Department of state and law theory, Tashkent State Law University.

E-mail: feruza.mukhitdinova@gmail.com

Orcid: 0000-0003-1721-0189

Introduction

The judicial institutions of the Timurid Empire (1370–1507) represent an important stage in the history of law and governance in Central Asia. Amir Timur, the founder of the dynasty, positioned *adalat* (justice) as the central pillar of state power. By synthesizing Mongol traditions (*Yasa*), Islamic jurisprudence, and Persian administrative practices, the Timurids created a unique legal system. This article explores the structure of courts, their functions, and their role in ensuring justice in society.

Historical Background

The Timurid state was built on the foundation of both military might and ideological legitimacy. Timur's code of governance, the *Tuzuk-i-Timuri*, emphasized justice as a principle of rule. The state relied on Hanafi jurisprudence, but it also developed its own court system to address the needs of different social classes. The judicial authority during the reign of Amir Timur was based on Sharia law and was primarily exercised by qadis (judges), who administered justice within the framework of Islamic jurisprudence. The legal system was organized on regional levels, where governors (walis) and other officials, as well as military commanders, played a key role in governance and maintaining order, while qadis dealt with judicial matters.

Main features of the judicial system under Amir Timur:

Sharia foundation: Justice was rooted in the principles of Sharia (Islamic law).

Role of qadis: The main judges were qadis, who issued rulings in accordance with Islamic law.

Regional governance: The empire was divided into viloyats (provinces), administered by walis (governors), supported by numerous officials and military leaders.

Administrative and judicial authority: In provincial administrations, hokims (governors) and other officeholders exercised both administrative power and elements of law enforcement.

Authority of governors and emirs: Although Timur was the supreme ruler, the management of provinces and the maintenance of law and order were often entrusted to his emirs (military commanders) and members of his family, who also held judicial authority.

Amir Timur's reign was not only marked by strong centralized power but also by his guiding principle: "Strength lies in justice." This motto reflected his belief that legitimate authority and lasting power could only be achieved through fairness and adherence to law.

The Principle of Justice in Timurid Governance

Amir Timur often declared “justice is the pillar of the state.” To institutionalize this principle, a multi-layered judicial system was established, ensuring that each segment of society — nobles, soldiers, commoners, and religious communities — was subject to fair trial.

The Structure of the Courts

1. The Court of Nobles (Aristocratic Court)

- This court dealt with cases involving high-ranking officials, aristocrats, and influential families.
- It ensured that nobles were tried in accordance with their social status, while at the same time demonstrating that they were not exempt from accountability.
- Such courts also resolved disputes over land grants, taxation privileges, and hereditary rights.

2. The Military Court (*Qazi-askar*)

- The *qazi-askar* (judge of the army) was appointed to resolve disputes within the military.
- He considered cases of discipline, property distribution among soldiers, and conflicts related to *soyurghal* (land assignments for military service).
- The existence of this court reflected the central role of the army in Timurid governance and the need to maintain strict discipline.

3. The People’s Court (Civil Court for Commoners)

- Ordinary citizens (*reaya*) had their own civil courts, presided over by qazis appointed by the state.
- These courts handled everyday disputes: property conflicts, trade disagreements, family issues, and inheritance.
- The accessibility of justice for commoners reinforced the Timurid image as protectors of the people.

4. Sharia Courts

- Sharia courts applied Hanafi jurisprudence and functioned independently from royal courts.
- They dealt with a wide range of civil and criminal cases, guided by Islamic law and supported by muftis’ fatwas.
- These courts also oversaw waqf institutions, regulating endowments that funded education, mosques, and charity.

Interaction of Court Systems

While each court had its sphere, they were interconnected. Royal decrees (*yarlighs*) could complement or override judicial decisions, especially in matters of taxation, politics, or state security. However, sharia courts retained moral authority, ensuring that the legitimacy of the Timurid dynasty was rooted in Islamic tradition.

Comparative Perspective

Compared with Mongol traditions of the *Yasa*, the Timurid system was more closely aligned with Islamic law. Later dynasties in Central Asia — the Shaybanids and Ashtarkhanids — preserved this four-tiered court system, showing its long-lasting influence.

Conclusion

The judicial system of the Timurid Empire was not merely a tool of governance but a reflection of the principle of justice. By creating separate courts for nobles, soldiers, commoners, and religious matters, the Timurids institutionalized fairness across society. This model combined Islamic values, military discipline, and administrative pragmatism, leaving a legacy that shaped the legal culture of Central Asia for centuries. The judicial system of the Timurid era holds significant historical importance, as justice, Sharia law, and administrative practices were integrated in a unique way during that period. These experiences remain valuable for modern Uzbekistan. In particular, ensuring judicial independence, enhancing the accountability of judges, and strengthening citizens' trust in fair justice are lessons that can be drawn from the past.

In recent years, Uzbekistan has undertaken major judicial and legal reforms — such as guaranteeing access to fair justice, protecting the rights and freedoms of citizens, improving the system of selection and appointment of judges, and ensuring the openness and transparency of court decisions. These reforms are evolving in harmony with the historical legacy. Thus, the development of the judicial system in Uzbekistan continues to consolidate the rule of law, legality, and the foundations of a democratic society.

References

1. Bartold V.V. *Sochineniya*. T. II. Moskva: Nauka, 1964.
2. Beatrice Forbes Manz. *Power, Politics and Religion in Timurid Iran*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
3. Subtelny M.E. *Timurids in Transition: Turko-Persian Politics and Acculturation in Medieval Iran*. Leiden: Brill, 2007.
4. Woods J.E. *The Timurid Dynasty*. Bloomington: Indiana University, 1990.
5. Roemer H.R. *The Cambridge History of Iran. Vol. VI: The Timurid and Safavid Periods*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986.
6. Bregel Yu. *An Historical Atlas of Central Asia*. Leiden: Brill, 2003.
7. Lambton A.K.S. *State and Government in Medieval Islam*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1981.
8. Davidovich E.A. *Monetary Circulation in Central Asia during the Timurid Period*. Moskva: Nauka, 1970.
9. Ahmedov B. *State and Society in the Temurid Empire*. Tashkent: Fan, 1992.
10. Subtelny M.E. "A Timurid Educational and Charitable Foundation: The Ikhlasiiyya Complex of 'Ali Shir Nava'i in 15th-Century Herat." *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 111(1), 1991.
11. REFERENCES
12. Юлдашев А. Г. The role of cognitive mechanisms in the words with transferred meanings //Иностранные языки в Узбекистане. – 2019. – №. 3. – С. 49-54.
13. Юлдашев А. Г. Антропонимы в узбекской картине мира //Вестник Санкт-Петербургского университета. Востоковедение и африканистика. – 2024. – Т. 16. – №. 2. – С. 474-484.
14. Lutfullaeva D. E., Yuldashev A. G. The Peculiarities of Defining Culturally Specific Uzbek Names in Associative Dictionaries //Вестник Санкт-

- Петербургского университета. Востоковедение и африканистика. – 2023. – Т. 15. – №. 3. – С. 485-496.
15. Холбобоева А. Туризм рекламаларида миллийлик хусусиятларнинг тадқиқи //Переводоведение: проблемы, решения и перспективы. – 2022. – №. 1. – С. 367-368.
16. Abdurashidovna D. D., Khudayberdiyevna K. Z. Scientific and Methodological Foundations for Studying the Problem of Using Modern Pedagogical Technologies in Education //International Journal of Human Computing Studies. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4. – С. 14-18.
17. KHOLBOBOEVA A. THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM ADVERTISING DISCOURSE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM INDUSTRY IN THE SOCIETY //PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCE.–2021. – 2021.
18. KHOLBOBOEVA A. THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM ADVERTISING DISCOURSE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM INDUSTRY IN THE SOCIETY: THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM ADVERTISING DISCOURSE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM INDUSTRY IN THE SOCIETY //Central Asian Journal of innovations and research. – 2021. – №. 1.
19. Kholboboieva PhD Student A. The notion of advertising discourse in modern uzbek linguistics //Philology Matters. – 2020. – Т. 2020. – №. 2. – С. 102-113.
20. Kholboboieva A. Linguacultural aspects of rhetoric discourse in tourism advertising //Philology Matters. – 2021. – Т. 2021. – №. 1. – С. 89-106.
21. Kholboboieva A. S. GENERAL FEATURES OF TOURISM ADVERTISEMENT DISCOURSE //Theoretical & Applied Science. – 2020. – №. 4. – С. 261-263.